A Closer Look at the Ghost Month in the Philippine Stock Market

Catherine Kalayaan S. Almonte Financial Management Department, De La Salle University, Philippines



ABSTRACT

Largely, this paper partially developed the work of Almonte (2016) by revisiting the ghost month in the Philippine equity market. Inferential statistics indicated that, based on the Gregorian calendar, the ghost month was only evident in three of eight indices. These were the Financials, Holding Firms, and Industrial indices. Furthermore, descriptive statistics showed that, by and large, indices did poorly during November, May, and August. The existence of the ghost month in the local market was held to be inconclusive.

Keywords: ghost month, Philippine stock market, stock indices, August.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Overview

The ghost month was described as a Chinese belief that spirits wander with human beings through the lunar year's month number seven (Gimenez, 2006, September 4; Pesobility Blog, 2013, July 31; Sy, 2016, August 1). Countless Chinese considered this time to be ominous so some equity markets were weak (Gimenez, 2006, September 4; Pesobility Blog, 2013, July 31). Moreover, it was the time when the majority of Western investment managers took their leaves (Gimenez, 2006, September 4; Villafuerte, 2016, July 29). According to Villafuerte (2016, July 29), the combination of the Chinese belief and the absence of Western investment professionals do not bode well for equities.

The strict definition of the ghost month comprised specific dates that do not all necessarily fall under August (Gimenez, 2006, September 4; acknowledged by Pesobility Blog, 2013, July 31; My Time....Chinese temple in Malaysia, 2016; Sy, 2016, August 1). However, some (Pesobility Blog, 2013, July 31; Bongat, 2016, January 5; Almonte, 2016; Villafuerte, 2016, July 29; Sy, 2016, August 1) used a relaxed definition (i.e. the ghost month and August represented the same thing).

For the main analysis, this research explored the ghost month from both the traditional Chinese and loose descriptions.

While an auxiliary analysis [see Section 1.3 for a list of authors; also refer to Sections 1.4 (para. 2), 2.2 (para. 5), and 3.2] was performed, similar to Arugaslan, Edwards, and

Samant (2008), such was intended to provide information for those inside and outside the academic environment.

Furthermore, as with Almonte (2016), evidence of the ghost month meant statistically significantly lower equity values.

1.2. Hypothesis

The research hypothesis was principally developed from Pesobility Blog (2013, July 31) and Almonte (2016):

Philippine stock market indices demonstrated lower returns during the ghost month.

1.3. Literature

Published academic literature specifically about the ghost month and the stock market was difficult to come by (the reader may refer to Almonte (2016) for a list of associated works pertaining to the ghost month). It appeared that Almonte (2016) was the first to scholarly write about the subject matter when she examined the ghost month, based on the Gregorian calendar, in the PSEi. The results of her research showed that the ghost month manifested itself convincingly in all periods: the overall period, spanning more than two decades, had a confidence level of 99% while both subperiods, each covering more than a decade, used a confidence level of 95% (Almonte, 2016). In addition, she conveyed that August had the largest losses in the overall period and one subperiod (Almonte, 2016).

On the other hand, literature targeted towards the lay person was comparatively easier to find. Gimenez (2006, September 4) stated that August as well as September were terrible for equities. Several years later, Bongat (2016, January 5) noted that based on more than a decade's worth of data, most of the time, money could be made in the local equity market by purchasing during the beginning of August and selling by said month's end. After a few months, Villafuerte (2016, July 29) mentioned that between 2006 and 2015, the PSEi declined six out of 10 times during August. In another article, Sy (2016, August 1) imparted that, using almost three decades of data and two types of calendars, the worst returns of the PSEi occurred during the ghost month.

Thus, the literature was unanimous in maintaining that August was a buying opportunity for equity traders and/or investors.

1.4. Research Gap

This study, for the most part, updated the work of Almonte (2016) in several areas: the ghost month was studied in all the indices of the Philippine stock market, i.e. PSEi, All Shares Index, Financials Index, Holding Firms Index, Industrial Index, Mining and Oil Index, Property Index, and Services Index (Philippine Stock Exchange, Inc., The, 2011, May); a shorter coverage period, six years for the overall period and three years for each

subperiod, was selected; and instead of just using a single measure (the ghost month based on the Gregorian calendar) to determine the presence of the ghost month, two measures (the ghost month based on both the Gregorian and lunar calendars) were utilized.

Furthermore, comparable to what others (see Section 1.3 for a list of authors) have done, as mentioned in Section 1.1 (para. 4), an analysis [see Sections 2.2 (para. 5) and 3.2] that could be appreciated by both those inside and outside the academe (Arugaslan, et al., 2008) was made. However, instead of just having the PSEi as the local sample, as previously mentioned, all eight indices were incorporated and a shorter period was selected to contrast with previous literature that used longer periods (see Section 1.3).

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Data

This research covered the calendar years 2010 until 2015 with two subperiods: the first subperiod ran from calendar years 2010 until 2012 while the second subperiod comprised calendar years 2013 until 2015 [the use of subperiods was analogous to what was performed by Almonte (2016) and others (see Almonte, 2016 for a list of various works)]. The subperiods comprised three years because of the assumption of a medium-term holding period and, referring to Kufs (2010, July 11), to have at least 50 observations for the ghost month.

Similar to Almonte (2004, 2012b, 2012c, 2016), indices' daily closing values were employed.

The data for the eight market indices was gathered from Technistock (Philippines), Inc. (2016). On the other hand, specific dates for the Chinese Ghost Month were collected from My Time....Chinese temple in Malaysia (2016).

2.2. Computations

As with Almonte (2016), Reilly and Brown's (2012, pp. 5-6) book was used as a resource to determine returns [and as mentioned in Almonte (2016), the computation of returns was identical to Almonte (2012a)].

For the primary analysis, data categorization involved two versions: the first version mimicked Almonte (2016) wherein the ghost month equaled August (Pesobility Blog, 2013, July 31; Bongat, 2016, January 5; Almonte, 2016; Villafuerte, 2016, July 29; Sy, 2016, August 1) while the second version used the traditional Chinese description of the ghost month (Gimenez, 2006, September 4; acknowledged by Pesobility Blog, 2013, July 31; My Time....Chinese temple in Malaysia, 2016; Sy, 2016, August 1). The proponent recognized that, like Almonte (2016), the paper by Ward and Huffman (1997) was used as a resource for categorizing data.

Like Almonte (2016), the working files (i.e. data sets) were created in Microsoft Excel for Mac 2011 [14.1.0 (110310)] and the statistics were accomplished via XLSTAT for Mac (2015.3.01.19253).

According to Addinsoft (2015a), when conducting normality tests, the Shapiro-Wilk test worked well for observations below 5,000. Hence, this particular normality test was employed in this paper. Incidentally, the Shapiro-Wilk test was one of two normality tests utilized by Almonte (2016). In all instances, the returns of the eight indices were not normally distributed, p < .0001. Therefore, as with Almonte (2016), the hypothesis was investigated via a one-tailed Mann-Whitney test (Addinsoft, 2015b).

Moreover, referring to Sections 1.1 (para. 4) and 1.4 (para. 2), an analysis of the average daily returns of each month for every index for all periods was performed. As such, grouping the indices' returns for various months followed Almonte (2012a, 2012b, 2016). The study of said returns (see Section 3.2) were similar to Almonte (2012a, 2016) and Sy (2016, August 1) and related to Gimenez (2006, September 4), Bongat (2016, January 5), and Villafuerte (2016, July 29).

2.3. Limitations

Three data points were excluded, namely: All Shares Index (no data for July 26, 2010) and Services Index (April 14, 2010 and January 5, 2011 had the same value as that of their respective previous trading day). This procedure was akin to Almonte (2016).

Only the Gregorian calendar was used to segregate the different months for the auxiliary analysis (Section 3.2) as said calendar was universally used (Time and Date AS, ca. 1995-2016). This was in line with the previously mentioned objective of including readers outside the academe [see Sections 1.1 (para. 4) and 1.4 (para. 2); inspired by Arugaslan, et al. (2008)].

3. RESULTS

3.1. Main Analysis

Tables 1 until 8 exhibited the average returns, number of observations, and results of the Mann-Whitney test using both the Gregorian and lunar calendars.

Based on the Gregorian calendar (Tables 1 until 8, Panel A), the ghost month was only evident in three indices, namely: the Financials Index (Table 3), the Holding Firms Index (Table 4), and the Industrial Index (Table 5). Specifically, the ghost month was found in the Financials Index for the period 2010 to 2015, p = .051; the Holding Firms Index for the period 2013 to 2015, p = .091; and the Industrial Index for the periods 2010 to 2015, p = .072 and 2010 to 2012, p = .069.

When the lunar calendar (Tables 1 until 8, Panel B) was used, the ghost month was statistically insignificant for all indices even if the average returns of month number seven were, in most occasions, lower than other periods.

Remarkably, while Almonte (2016) showed compelling evidence of the ghost month, the results of this paper did not. As mentioned, Almonte (2016) found statistical significance in the PSEi using confidence levels of 99% (for the overall period) and 95% (for both subperiods). On the other hand, this study discovered statistical significance in the Financials, Holding Firms, and Industrial indices all using a confidence level of 90%; however, none of these indices had consistent significant results in all periods. What was similar with that of Almonte (2016) is that, in all instances, the ghost month was found only when it was equated to August.

An industry specialist shared that "several stock [sic] in the 3 sectors that exhibit the ghost month are among those with the highest market values . . . thus a gradual or large decline in prices are reflected accordingly in their respective indices" (J.J.F. Lago, personal communication, August 2, 2016, para. 1). He also expressed that institutional investors control the local market and that they effect portfolio rebalancing around the middle of the year (J.J.F. Lago, personal communication, August 2, 2016). Furthermore, in the four times per calendar year the MSCI makes a statement about index composition, investors traditionally reacted exceedingly aggressive during August, i.e. stocks that were about to join an index were bought up while those that were expected to leave an index were sold down (J.J.F. Lago, personal communication, August 2, 2016).

Table 1. PSEi					
	2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015		
Panel A. Ghost Month					
Based on the Gregorian					
Calendar					
August					
Mean return	-0.001	0.000	-0.002		
Observations	116	61	55		
Other months					
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.001		
Observations	1,345	676	669		
Mann-Whitney Test					
U	73,946.000	19,636.000	17,272.000		
Expected value	78,010.000	20,618.000	18,397.500		
Variance (U)	19,008,436.667	2,536,014.000	2,223,031.250		
Panel B. Ghost Month					
Based on the Lunar					
Calendar					
Month 7					
Mean return	-0.001	0.001	-0.002		
Observations	111	58	53		
Other months					
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.000		
Observations	1,350	679	671		
Mann-Whitney Test					
U	74,168.000	20,080.000	16,955.000		
Expected value	74,925.000	19,691.000	17,781.500		
Variance (U)	18,256,725.000	2,421,993.000	2,148,597.917		
Note: Following Almont	e(2016) the decimal formation	t was used for mean returns			

ollowing Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean return

The table format was partially adapted from "Superstition in the Philippine Stock Market" by C. K. S. Almonte, 2016, Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research, 5, p. 92. Copyright 2016 by GMP Press and Printing.

Copyright © 2018 GMP Press and Printing (http://buscompress.com/journal-home.html) ISSN: 2304-1013 (Online); 2304-1269 (CDROM); 2414-6722 (Print)

Table 2. All Shares Index					
	2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015		
Panel A. Ghost Month					
Based on the Gregorian					
Calendar					
August					
Mean return	-0.001	0.000	-0.002		
Observations	116	61	55		
Other months					
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.000		
Observations	1,344	675	669		
Mann-Whitney Test					
U	73,375.000	19,669.000	16,940.000		
Expected value	77,952.000	20,587.500	18,397.500		
Variance (U)	18,981,312.000	2,528,831.250	2,223,031.25		
Panel B. Ghost Month					
Based on the Lunar					
Calendar					
Month 7					
Mean return	-0.001	0.001	-0.002		
Observations	111	58	53		
Other months					
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.000		
Observations	1,349	678	671		
Mann-Whitney Test					
U	74,010.000	20,128.000	16,807.000		
Expected value	74,869.500	19,662.000	17,781.500		
Variance (U)	18,230,723.250	2,415,149.000	2,148,597.917		
Note: Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns.					

Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns.The table format was partially adapted from "Superstition in the Philippine Stock Market" by C.K. S. Almonte, 2016, *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 5, p. 92.Copyright 2016 by GMP Press and Printing.

Table 3. Financials Index				
	2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015	
Panel A. Ghost Month				
Based on the Gregorian				
Calendar				
August				
Mean return	-0.001	0.000	-0.002	
Observations	116	61	55	
Other months				
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.000	
Observations	1,345	676	669	
Mann-Whitney Test				
U	70,885.000†	18,838.000	16,589.000	
Expected value	78,010.000	20,618.000	18,397.500	
Variance (U)	19,008,436.667	2,536,014.000	2,223,031.250	
Panel B. Ghost Month				
Based on the Lunar				
Calendar				
Month 7				
Mean return	0.000	0.002	-0.002	
Observations	111	58	53	
Other months				
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.000	
Observations	1,350	679	671	
Mann-Whitney Test				
U	73,632.000	20,491.000	16,190.000	
Expected value	74,925.000	19,691.000	17,781.500	
Variance (U)	18,256,725.000	2,421,993.000	2,148,597.917	

Note: $\dagger p < .10$, one-tailed.

Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns.

The table format was partially adapted from "Superstition in the Philippine Stock Market" by C. K. S. Almonte, 2016, *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research, 5*, p. 92. Copyright 2016 by GMP Press and Printing.

Copyright @ 2018 GMP Press and Printing (http://buscompress.com/journal-home.html) ISSN: 2304-1013 (Online); 2304-1269 (CDROM); 2414-6722 (Print)

Table 4. Holding Firms Index				
	2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015	
Panel A. Ghost Month				
Based on the Gregorian				
Calendar				
August				
Mean return	-0.002	0.000	-0.004	
Observations	116	61	55	
Other months				
Mean return	0.001	0.002	0.001	
Observations	1,345	676	669	
Mann-Whitney Test				
U	72,481.000	19,591.000	16,405.000†	
Expected value	78,010.000	20,618.000	18,397.500	
Variance (U)	19,008,436.667	2,536,014.000	2,223,031.250	
Panel B. Ghost Month				
Based on the Lunar				
Calendar				
Month 7				
Mean return	-0.001	0.001	-0.003	
Observations	111	58	53	
Other months				
Mean return	0.001	0.002	0.001	
Observations	1,350	679	671	
Mann-Whitney Test				
U	73,997.000	19,924.000	16,891.000	
Expected value	74,925.000	19,691.000	17,781.500	
Variance (U)	18,256,725.000	2,421,993.000	2,148,597.917	
Note: $\ddagger p < .10$, one-tailed.				

Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns.

The table format was partially adapted from "Superstition in the Philippine Stock Market" by C. K. S. Almonte, 2016, Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research, 5, p. 92. Copyright 2016 by GMP Press and Printing.

Table 5. Industrial Index				
	2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015	
Panel A. Ghost Month				
Based on the Gregorian				
Calendar				
August				
Mean return	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	
Observations	116	61	55	
Other months				
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.000	
Observations	1,345	676	669	
Mann-Whitney Test				
U	71,649.000†	18,253.000†	17,550.000	
Expected value	78,010.000	20,618.000	18,397.500	
Variance (U)	19,008,436.667	2,536,014.000	2,223,031.250	
Panel B. Ghost Month				
Based on the Lunar				
Calendar				
Month 7				
Mean return	0.000	0.000	-0.001	
Observations	111	58	53	
Other months				
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.000	
Observations	1,350	679	671	
Mann-Whitney Test				
U	71,682.000	18,906.000	16,896.000	
Expected value	74,925.000	19,691.000	17,781.500	
Variance (U)	18,256,725.000	2,421,993.000	2,148,597.917	

Note: $\dagger p < .10$, one-tailed.

Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns.

The table format was partially adapted from "Superstition in the Philippine Stock Market" by C. K. S. Almonte, 2016, Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research, 5, p. 92.

Copyright 2016 by GMP Press and Printing.

Copyright © 2018 GMP Press and Printing (http://buscompress.com/journal-home.html) ISSN: 2304-1013 (Online); 2304-1269 (CDROM); 2414-6722 (Print)

Table 6. Mining and Oil Index				
	2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015	
Panel A. Ghost Month				
Based on the Gregorian				
Calendar				
August				
Mean return	0.000	0.002	-0.001	
Observations	116	61	55	
Other months				
Mean return	0.000	0.001	-0.001	
Observations	1,345	676	669	
Mann-Whitney Test				
U	79,659.000	20,482.000	19,517.000	
Expected value	78,010.000	20,618.000	18,397.500	
Variance (U)	19,008,436.667	2,536,014.000	2,223,031.250	
Panel B. Ghost Month				
Based on the Lunar				
Calendar				
Month 7				
Mean return	0.002	0.004	-0.002	
Observations	111	58	53	
Other months				
Mean return	0.000	0.001	-0.001	
Observations	1,350	679	671	
Mann-Whitney Test				
U	80,131.000	21,791.000	18,281.000	
Expected value	74,925.000	19,691.000	17,781.500	
Variance (U)	18,256,725.000	2,421,993.000	2,148,597.917	
Note: Following Almont	e (2016), the decimal format	was used for mean returns.		

Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns. The table format was partially adapted from "Superstition in the Philippine Stock Market" by C.

K. S. Almonte, 2016, Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research, 5, p. 92. Copyright 2016 by GMP Press and Printing.

Table 7. Property Index					
	2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015		
Panel A. Ghost Month					
Based on the Gregorian					
Calendar					
August					
Mean return	0.000	0.001	-0.002		
Observations	116	61	55		
Other months					
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.001		
Observations	1,345	676	669		
Mann-Whitney Test					
U	78,674.000	21,181.000	18,191.000		
Expected value	78,010.000	20,618.000	18,397.500		
Variance (U)	19,008,436.667	2,536,014.000	2,223,031.250		
Panel B. Ghost Month					
Based on the Lunar					
Calendar					
Month 7					
Mean return	-0.001	0.001	-0.002		
Observations	111	58	53		
Other months					
Mean return	0.001	0.001	0.001		
Observations	1,350	679	671		
Mann-Whitney Test					
U	74,072.000	19,734.000	17,305.000		
Expected value	74,925.000	19,691.000	17,781.500		
Variance (U)	18,256,725.000	2,421,993.000	2,148,597.917		
Note: Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns.					

Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns.

The table format was partially adapted from "Superstition in the Philippine Stock Market" by C. K. S. Almonte, 2016, Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research, 5, p. 92.

Copyright 2016 by GMP Press and Printing.

Copyright © 2018 GMP Press and Printing (http://buscompress.com/journal-home.html) ISSN: 2304-1013 (Online); 2304-1269 (CDROM); 2414-6722 (Print)

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Table 8. Services Index				
Panel A. Ghost Month Based on the Gregorian Calendar August Mean return -0.001 -0.001 Observations 116 61 55 Other months		2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015	
Based on the Gregorian Calendar August Mean return -0.001 -0.001 -0.00 Observations 116 61 55 Other months	Panel A. Ghost Month				
Calendar August Mean return -0.001 -0.001 Observations 116 61 55 Other months -0.000 0.000 0.000 Mean return 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,343 674 669 Mann-Whitney Test	Based on the Gregorian				
August Mean return -0.001 -0.001 -0.001 Observations 116 61 55 Other months	Calendar				
Mean return -0.001 -0.001 -0.001 Observations 116 61 55 Other months	August				
Observations 116 61 55 Other months	Mean return	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	
Other months 0.000 0.000 0.000 Mean return 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,343 674 669 Mann-Whitney Test U 75,902.000 20,189.000 17,861 Expected value 77,894.000 20,557.000 18,397 Variance (U) 18,954,206.667 2,521,658.667 2,223,03 Panel B. Ghost Month Based on the Lunar 2 2 Calendar Month 7 0.001 0.000 -0.00 Mean return -0.001 0.000 -0.00 0.000 Observations 111 58 53 53 Other months U 73,541.000 0.9910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,910.000 17,814.667 2.148.56	Observations	116	61	55	
Mean return 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,343 674 669 Mann-Whitney Test U $75,902.000$ $20,189.000$ $17,861$ Expected value $77,894.000$ $20,557.000$ $18,397$ Variance (U) $18,954,206.667$ $2,521,658.667$ $2,223,03$ Panel B. Ghost Month Based on the Lunar $Calendar$ $Month 7$ Month 7 $Mean$ return -0.001 0.000 -0.00 Observations 111 58 53 Other months U $73,541.000$ $9,910.000$ $16,896$ Expected value $74,814.000$ $19,633.000$ $17,781$	Other months				
Observations $1,343$ 674 669 Mann-Whitney Test U $75,902.000$ $20,189.000$ $17,861$ Expected value $77,894.000$ $20,557.000$ $18,397$ Variance (U) $18,954,206.667$ $2,521,658.667$ $2,223,03$ Panel B. Ghost Month Based on the Lunar $Calendar$ $Another Control of the Control of the$	Mean return	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Mann-Whitney Test U 75,902.000 20,189.000 17,861 Expected value 77,894.000 20,557.000 18,397 Variance (U) 18,954,206.667 2,521,658.667 2,223,03 Panel B. Ghost Month Based on the Lunar 2 Calendar Month 7 111 58 53 Other months 111 58 53 Other months 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test 73,541.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781	Observations	1,343	674	669	
U 75,902.000 20,189.000 17,861 Expected value 77,894.000 20,557.000 18,397 Variance (U) 18,954,206.667 2,521,658.667 2,223,03 Panel B. Ghost Month Based on the Lunar 20,000 20,000 20,000 Month 7 Mean return -0.001 0.000 -0.00 Observations 111 58 53 Other months Mean return 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test U 73,541.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Weiney (U) 18,904.740.000 24,092.214.667 2,749	Mann-Whitney Test				
Expected value $77,894.000$ $20,557.000$ $18,397$ Variance (U) $18,954,206.667$ $2,521,658.667$ $2,223,03$ Panel B. Ghost Month Based on the Lunar $2,521,658.667$ $2,223,03$ Calendar Month 7 $8000000000000000000000000000000000000$	U	75,902.000	20,189.000	17,861.000	
Variance (U) 18,954,206.667 2,521,658.667 2,223,05 Panel B. Ghost Month Based on the Lunar Calendar 7 Month 7 0.000 0.000 -0.00 Mean return -0.001 0.000 -0.00 Observations 111 58 53 Other months 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test U 73,541.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781	Expected value	77,894.000	20,557.000	18,397.500	
Panel B. Ghost Month Based on the Lunar $Calendar$ Month 7 $Month 7$ Mean return -0.001 0.000 Observations 111 58 53 Other months Man return 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations $1,348$ 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test U $73,541.000$ $19,910.000$ $16,896$ Expected value $74,814.000$ $19,633.000$ $17,781$	Variance (U)	18,954,206.667	2,521,658.667	2,223,031.250	
Based on the Lunar Calendar Month 7 Mean return -0.001 0.000 -0.00 Observations 111 58 53 Other months	Panel B. Ghost Month				
Calendar Month 7 Mean return -0.001 0.000 -0.00 Observations 111 58 53 Other months 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test U 73,541.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Marine (U) 18,204.740.000 2,149.677 2,149.677	Based on the Lunar				
Month 7 -0.001 0.000 -0.00 Mean return -0.001 0.000 -0.00 Observations 111 58 53 Other months $Mean$ return 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test U 73,541.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Maximum (U) 148.204.740.000 2.148.677 2.148.677	Calendar				
Mean return -0.001 0.000 -0.00 Observations 111 58 53 Other months V V V Mean return 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test U 73,541.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Maximum (U) 18,204.740 21,498.214.667 21,498.214.667	Month 7				
Observations 111 58 53 Other months	Mean return	-0.001	0.000	-0.003	
Other months 0.000 0.000 0.000 Mean return 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test 0 0.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Versioner (U) 18,204.740.000 2,149.677 2,148.677	Observations	111	58	53	
Mean return 0.000 0.000 0.000 Observations 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test 73,541.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Main return 19,000 2,148,677 2,148,677	Other months				
Observations 1,348 677 67 Mann-Whitney Test 67 67 <td< td=""><td>Mean return</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.000</td></td<>	Mean return	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Mann-Whitney Test 19,910.000 16,896 U 73,541.000 19,633.000 17,781 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Uniform (U) 18,204.740.000 2148.677 2148.57	Observations	1,348	677	671	
U 73,541.000 19,910.000 16,896 Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Universe (U) 12,204.740.000 2,408.214.667 2149.8214.667	Mann-Whitney Test				
Expected value 74,814.000 19,633.000 17,781 Variance (II) 18.204.740.000 2.408.214.667 2.148.57	U	73,541.000	19,910.000	16,896.000	
Verience (II) 18 204 740 000 2 408 214 (C7 2 148 50	Expected value	74,814.000	19,633.000	17,781.500	
variance (U) 18,204,740.000 2,408,514.067 2,148,55	Variance (U)	18,204,740.000	2,408,314.667	2,148,597.917	
Note: Following Almonte (2016), the decimal format was used for mean returns.	Note: Following Almont	e (2016), the decimal format	was used for mean returns.		

The table format was partially adapted from "Supersition in the Philippine Stock Market" by C. K. S. Almonte, 2016, *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 5, p. 92. Copyright 2016 by GMP Press and Printing.

3.2. Auxiliary Analysis

Table 9 showed how many indices for each month for every period generated negative average daily returns (see Section 2.2, para. 5).

For 2010 to 2015 (Table 9), the worst months were May and November as well as August. The results for 2010 to 2012 (Table 9) essentially kept to the results of 2010 to 2015 as November and May were once again the poorest performers. On the other hand, 2013 to 2015 (Table 9), demonstrated that August, November, and December were the ghastliest months since all eight indices achieved losses.

Almost half of the incidences of negative average daily returns befell in the last three years (Table 9). Furthermore, for the period 2013 to 2015, at least one index demonstrated negative average daily returns two-thirds of the calendar year (Table 9). One could not help ponder if this is a sign of a market slowdown and/or, as an industry participant explained (see Section 3.1, para. 5), a result of material statements (J.J.F. Lago, personal communication, August 2, 2016).

On the whole (Table 9), the worst months were November (21 out of 81), May (17 out of 81), and August (16 out of 81). Parenthetically, these three months were connected with MSCI's index actions (see Section 3.1, para. 5; J.J.F. Lago, personal communication, August 2, 2016).

Table 9.	Prevalence of Negativ	ve Average Daily Returns:	Philippine Stock Ind	lices
Month	2010 to 2015	2010 to 2012	2013 to 2015	Total
January	-	3	-	3
February	-	2	-	2
March	-	-	1	1
April	-	-	-	-
May	7	5	5	17
June	1	-	6	7
July	-	-	2	2
August	6	2	8	16
September	2	-	2	4
October	-	-	-	-
November	7	6	8	21
December	-	-	8	8
Total	23	18	40	81

Sample interpretation: For the period 2010 to 2015, seven out of eight indices exhibited negative average daily returns for May.

4. CONCLUSION

dent-samples

Note:

As previously stated, this paper principally digressed from other works (see Section 1.3) by including seven other local indices in addition to the PSEi as well as employing a shorter period of study.

The main results, found in Tables 1 until 8 and as realized from several resources (see Section 1.3 for a list of authors), illustrated that the ghost month was only strongly evident in samples that made use of data spanning at least a decade long. Only the Financials, Holding Firms, and Industrial indices (Tables 3 until 5, Panel A) reflected the ghost month. The auxiliary results, found in Table 9, showed that November, May, and August were particularly poor for the local stock market. Overall, sharp movements could be ascribed to portfolio rebalancing (see Section 3.1, para. 5; J.J.F. Lago, personal communication, August 2, 2016) and/or placing excessive faith in the ghost month.

Thus, the existence of the ghost month in the Philippine stock market was thought to be uncertain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researcher was thankful to Mr. Joseph James F. Lago for helping with the Philippine stock market data and providing his views regarding the ghost month in the local market.

REFERENCES

- [1] Addinsoft (2015a). *Normality tests.* Retrieved from https://www.xlstat.com/en/solutions/features/normality-tests
- [2] Addinsoft (2015b). Non parametric tests on two independent samples. Retrieved from https://www.xlstat.com/en/solutions/features/non-parametric-tests-on-two-indepen

- [3] Almonte, C. K. S. (2004). The day-of-the-week effect in the Philippine stock market: January 3, 2000 to July 23, 2004. Working paper. Retrieved from http://www.dlsu.edu.ph/research/centers/cberd/pdf/papers/Working%20Paper%20 Series%202004-10.pdf
- [4] Almonte, C. K. S. (2012a). Calendar effects in the Philippine stock market. *International Journal of Information Technology and Business Management, 3*(1), 64-80. Retrieved from http://jitbm.com
- [5] Almonte, C. K. S. (2012b). Testing for the quarter-of-the-year effect in ten Asian stock indices. *International Journal of Information Technology and Business Management*, 6(1), 31-36. Retrieved from http://jitbm.com
- [6] Almonte, C. K. S. (2012c). Assessing the Sharpe ratio of equity funds in the Philippines. *International Journal of Information Technology and Business Management*, 7(1), 17-24. Retrieved from http://jitbm.com
- [7] Almonte, C. K. S. (2016). Superstition in the Philippine stock market. *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 5(2), 84-96. Retrieved from http://buscompress.com/journal-home.html
- [8] Arugaslan, O., Edwards, E., & Samant, A. (2008). Evaluating large US-based equity mutual funds using risk-adjusted performance measures. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 17(1), 6-24. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/10569210710774721
- [9] Bongat, B. (2016, January 5). The best months to buy stocks this 2016. *Manila Bulletin*. Retrieved from http://www.mb.com.ph/the-best-months-to-buy-stocks-this-2016/
- [10] Gimenez, I. B. (2006, September 4). The ghost month and the stock market. *The Philippine Star.* Retrieved from http://www.philstar.com/business/356319/ghost-month-and-stock-market
- [11] Kufs, C. (2010, July 11). 30 samples. Standard, suggestion, or superstition? [Web log post]. Retrieved from https://statswithcats.wordpress.com/2010/07/11/30-samples-standard-suggestion-o r-superstition/
- [12] Microsoft Excel for Mac 2011 [14.1.0 (110310)] [computer software]. Software used to create the data sets. Copyright 2010 by Microsoft Corporation.
- [13] My Time.Chinese temple in Malaysia (2016). *Chinese ghost month taboo*. Retrieved from http://my.72dragon.com/609/chinese-ghost-month-taboo/
- [14] Pesobility Blog (2013, July 31). August a.k.a "ghost month" is coming [what to expect] [Web log post]. Retrieved from http://blog.pesobility.com/2013/07/august-aka-ghost-month-is-coming-what.html# .V6r9r8dnhUM
- [15] Philippine Stock Exchange, Inc., The (2011, May). Policy on index management. Retrieved from http://www.pse.com.ph/resource/filetemplate/file/pseindexguide.pdf
- [16] Reilly, F. K., & Brown, K. C. (2012). An overview of the investment process. Analysis of investments & management of portfolios (Tenth international edition) (3-29). Canada: South-Western, Cengage Learning.
- [17] Sy, W. (2016, August 1). Who's afraid of ghosts? The Philippine Star. Retrieved from http://www.philstar.com/business/2016/08/01/1608651/whos-afraid-ghosts

- [18] Technistock (Philippines), Inc. (2016). PHIALL Philippine All Share Index, PHIFIN – Philippine Financial Average, PHIHDG – Philippine Holding Firms Average, PHIIND – Philippine Industrial Average, PHIM-O – Philippine Mining and Oil Average, PHIPROP – Philippine Property Average, PSEI – Philippine Stock Index, and PHISVC – Philippine Services Average [Data files]. Retrieved from a workstation enabled with Technistock (Philippines), Inc.
- [19] Time and Date AS. (ca. 1995-2016). *The Gregorian calendar*. Retrieved from http://www.timeanddate.com/calendar/gregorian-calendar.html
- [20] Villafuerte, F. (2016, July 29). What happens in the stock market during ghost month? [Web log post]. Retrieved from http://fitzvillafuerte.com/happens-stock-market-ghost-month.html
- [21] Ward, D. J., & Huffman, S. P. (1997). Seasonality in the returns of defaulted bonds: The January and October effects. *Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics*, 36(3), 3-10. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com/docview/194739014?accountid=28547
- [22] XLSTAT for Mac (2015.3.01.19253) [computer software]. Statistical software used in the study. Copyright 1995-2015 by Addinsoft. A version of the software was available from https://www.xlstat.com/en/download.